00 Initial Sample Inspection Report (ISIR) cover sheet

Sender:	**Initial sample inspection**	**Submission level**
Supplier:		☐1 ☐2 ☐3
Contact person:	☐ Initial sampling	
Site:	☐ Resampling	
Street:	☐ New part	
Zip code city:	☐ Product change	
Recipiant:	☐ Relocation of production	
Customer:	☐ Change of production processes	
Contact person:	☐ Suspension of production for a longer period	
Department:	☐ New sub-supplier	
PO box:	☐ Inspection report other samples	
Site:		
Street:	☐ **Prototyp** ☐ **Pre-series** ☐ **Series**	
Zip code city:		

Attachments

☐ 01 Manufacturability assessment	☐ 07 Inspection plans	☐ 13 List of testing and measuring equipment
☐ 02 Part / product drawings	☐ 08 Process / Product FMEA	☐ 14 Part history documentation
☐ 03 TA list and list of relevant specifications	☐ 09 Repeatability / process capability	☐ 15 Serialization & Traceability
☐ 04 Measurement reports (general and cleaning)	☐ 10 Measurement system analysis	☐ 16 Verification of capacity
☐ 05 Conformity of material	☐ 11 Process audits	☐ 17 Test data management
☐ 06 Control plan	☐ 12 Sub-supplier qualification	☐ 18 Additional verifications

ID number, supplier:	**ID number, customer:**
Test report number:	**Test report number:**
Material number:	Material number:
Drawing number:	Drawing number:
Version / date:	Version / date:
Change number:	Change number:
Part designation:	Part designation:
Order number / date:	
Delivery number: **Date:**	**Incoming goods no.:** **Date:**
Batch:	Unloading place:
Part ID:	Order number / date:

Supplier confirmation:
We herewith confirm that the initial samples presented have been manufactured and measured under series conditions with series equipment and have been released. The correctness of the determined actual values is hereby confirmed.

Name, company:	Remarks:
Department:	
E-mail address:	
Date; signature:	

Customer decision:	**Overall:**	**According to attachment:** 1 2 3 4a 4b 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
Approved:	☐	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
Rejected:	☐	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Deviation permission no.: (if available)
When returning delivery bill no. / date:

Department (development; OV) -date, department, DV abbreviation; name, first name	Remarks:
Technical supplier development / Supply Chain-Mgmt -date, department, DV abbreviation; name, first name	
Quality management supplier -overall release -date, department, DV abbreviation; name, first name	

Attachment overview 00 ISIR cover sheet # 2

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

	Attachment:	Status, date:	Typ, scope and identification of the attachment:
☐	00 Cover sheet		
☐	01 Manufacturability assessment		
☐	02 Part / product drawings		
☐	03 TA list and list of relevant specifications		
☐	04a Measurement report - general		
☐	04b Measurement report - cleaning		
☐	05 Conformity of material		
☐	06 Control plan		
☐	07 Inspection plans		
☐	08 Process / Product FMEA		
☐	09 Repeatability / process capability		
☐	10 Measurement system analysis		
☐	11 Process audits		
☐	12 Sub-supplier qualification		
☐	13 List of testing and measuring equipment		
☐	14 Part history documentation		
☐	15 Serialization & Traceability		
☐	16 Verification of capacity		
☐	17 Test data management		
☐	18 Additional verifications		

Supplier confirmation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

01 Manufacturability assessment

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

We hereby confirm that the sample scope presented was manufactured under controlled, series-production conditions. The quality and degree of maturity of the samples, including all manufacturing processes, fully comply with the specifications on which the cover sheet and the parts life cycle are based (see attachments 2 and 3) under series production conditions.

The manufacturability of the components under series conditions is additionally confirmed in the fully completed form GS.07b Manufacturability assessment (Zeiss template) and attached to this appendix.

Supplier confirmation	**Customer confirmation**	**Customer descision**	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

02 Part / product drawings

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

We hereby confirm that the leading drawing/ 3D model corresponds to the current, approved status as stated in the cover sheet and in the part history documentation and is attached as an appendix to the sample presentation.

The agreed characteristics and criteria are marked in the drawing in such a way that a reference to the criteria and test results in the appendices of this document is possible at any time.

For assemblies and modules, the corresponding parts list shall be added as an appendix.

Supplier confirmation	**Customer confirmation**	**Customer decision**	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

03 TA list and list of relevant specifications

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

Pos.	Specification name:	Document number:	Version number:	Designation (+document no.) of the TA:	Remarks:

Supplier confirmation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

04a Measurement report - general

Test report no.:			
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:**	**Version / date:**

Ref. No.	TARGET – claim:	Measuring equipment:	Tolerance limits:		ACTUAL – values supplier:					Evaluation:		Remarks:	Reference specification:
			Min.- value / LSL	Max. value / USL	# 1	# 2	# 3	# 4	# 5	ok.	not ok.		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		
										☐	☐		

Supplier confirmation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

04b Measurement report - cleaning

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

Cleanliness Specification	Version	Material group*	Cleaning location

* Material groups can be e.g.: Aluminium, Stainless steel, NIP, Black NIP, Copper, Cordierite, Ceramics / SiSiC, Elastomers, Synthetic material
The correct material group can be taken from the corresponding release document

The relevant release documents are to be attached as an appendix

All release documents per material group and cleaning location are to be delivered

Additional attachments can be included (e.g. photo documentation, etc.)

☐ **We hereby confirm that the underlying cleanliness specifications have been met.**

Supplier confirmation	**Customer confirmation**	**ECustomer decision**	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

05 Conformity of material

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

We hereby confirm that the materials of the samples presented comply with the guidelines and regulations in the currently valid versions. The documents marked below must be signed and attached to the sample.

☐ RoHS regulation in the version valid at the time of submission. See: Link to RoHS

☐ REACH regulation in the version valid at the time of submission. See: Link to REACH

☐ Required material test certificates/material data sheets of the materials used/process auxiliaries

Supplier confirmation	**Customer confirmation**	**Customer decision**	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

06 Control plan

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	Material number:	Drawing number: Version / date:

		Yes	No	Remarks:
1.	We hereby confirm that the production control plan for the production of the scope of presentation is available and can be inspected. The following criteria are included in the production control plan:			
2.	The production control plan refers to ☐ Prototype ☐ Pre-series ☐ Series			
3.	The production control plan includes or references a process flow diagram.	☐	☐	
4.	The production control plan contains all process steps including storage and transport starting from the receipt of purchased parts to delivery to the customer.	☐	☐	
5.	The production control plan contains the description of all work and test steps of the overall process.	☐	☐	
6.	The production control plan contains the details of the test steps (if necessary, reference to additional test instructions).			
6.1	What to check?	☐	☐	
6.2	How often to check (test frequency)?	☐	☐	
6.3	What is the sample size?	☐	☐	
6.4	Which test equipment is used for testing?	☐	☐	
6.5	What is the nominal value (TARGET value)?	☐	☐	
6.6	Which tolerances? Alternatively, upper and lower dimension?	☐	☐	
6.7	What to do if targets are not met (response plan)?	☐	☐	
7.	Are the "Special features" identified as such in the production control plan?	☐	☐	
8.	Are there clear guidelines for dealing with the "special features" and are these applied?	☐	☐	
9.	Is there a definition of "special features" (e.g. CC, SC, SPC, ...)?	☐	☐	
10.	Is the response plan present in the production control plan (e.g., what to do if the specifications are not met?)?	☐	☐	
11.	Does the production control plan have a reference to the process FMEA?	☐	☐	
12.	Is the production control plan periodically checked for up-to-dateness?	☐	☐	
13.	Is the production control plan a controlled document and released?	☐	☐	
14.	Is the production control plan known to all affected employees in the process (training)?	☐	☐	

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version/Datum:**

☐ The production control plan is complete, up-to-date, and its content meets the requirements of the checklist presented above.

☐ The production control plan is additionally attached as a document to this appendix.

☐ The process flow diagram / process flow plan associated with the production control plan contains all process steps, is up-to-date and is attached as an appendix.

☐ The production control plan can be viewed on site at the supplier.

Supplier confirmation	**Customer confirmation**	**Customer decision**	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

07 Inspection plans

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	Material number:	Drawing number: Version / date:

		Yes	No	Remarks:
1.	We hereby confirm that all inspection plans for the production of the scope of presentation are available and can be inspected on site. The following criteria are included in the test plans:			
2.	The inspection plans refer to ☐ Prototype ☐ Pre-series ☐ Series			
3.	The applicable inspection plan is referred to from the production control plan and/or from the valid work plan. This applies to all inspection plans.	☐	☐	
4.	The inspection plans are complete and plausible. They contain:			
4.1.	Comprehensible descriptions of the test tasks / test steps (use of pictures / graphics if necessary).	☐	☐	
4.2.	The specifications of the test equipment to be used.	☐	☐	
4.3.	The sample size(s) for the respective tests.	☐	☐	
4.4.	The frequency of the tests to be performed.	☐	☐	
4.5.	The test default values (nominal values / TARGET values).	☐	☐	
4.6.	The tolerances to the default value (upper and lower dimension, if applicable).	☐	☐	
4.7.	Instructions on what to do if the default values are not reached.	☐	☐	
4.8.	How and where to document the verified ACTUAL values?	☐	☐	
4.9.	The "special characteristics" are marked in the inspection plan.	☐	☐	
5.	All inspection plans are controlled documents and are also recognizable as such (revision / issue status and released).	☐	☐	
6.	The employees involved are familiar with the inspection plans and can use them safely (training, training certificates, ...).	☐	☐	

☐ The inspection plan(s) is/are complete, up-to-date, and its/their content meets the requirements of the checklist presented above.

☐ The inspection plan(s) is/are additionally attached as document(s) to this appendix.

☐ The inspection plan(s) can be viewed on site at the supplier.

Supplier confimation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarks:	Remarks:	Approved:	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

08 Process / Product FMEA

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	Material number:	Drawing number: Version / date:

		Yes	No	Remarks:
1.	We hereby confirm that the FMEA(s) required for the scope of delivery have been carried out taking into account the specified FMEA criteria. They can be viewed by the customer on site. The following criteria refer to the / these FMEA(s):			
2.	Performed FMEA type(s) ☐ (System) FMEA product ☐ (System) FMEA process			
3.	The FMEA(s) is/are up to date (last processing status not older than 3 months).	☐	☐	
4.	The FMEA(s) were prepared as a team effort with all required team members.	☐	☐	
5.	The FMEA(s) are regularly / periodically checked for up-to-dateness and adjusted if necessary.	☐	☐	
6.	The functions, fault sequences, faults and fault causes are described comprehensibly and completely in the FMEA(s).	☐	☐	
7.	The limit risk priority number (limit RPN) is defined and documented for the FMEA(s). Alternatively: Procedure analogous to VDA FMEA manual (2019 edition).	☐	☐	
8.	The evaluation catalogs for significance, probability of occurrence, and probability of detection are complete, plausible, and appropriate for the particular FMEA.	☐	☐	
9.	The defect catalogs were consistently used in the FMEA(s) to evaluate significance, probability of occurrence and probability of detection.	☐	☐	
10.	The "special features" and their properties in the FMEA were defined (e.g.: what is a Critical Feature CC or Significant Feature SC, ...).	☐	☐	
11.	The "special characteristics" are considered in the FMEA(s) (also customer specifications, if applicable).	☐	☐	
12.	Measures are defined for all risks above the limit RPZ, specifying the type of measure, the person responsible for the measure, and the due date of the measure(s).	☐	☐	
13.	The risk is only reassessed after the effectiveness of the defined measures has been tested or implemented.	☐	☐	
14.	Risks from complaints (e.g. customer complaints, 8D reports...) are entered into the current FMEA(s) and the FMEA thus serves as a knowledge store	☐	☐	
15.	There are no open measures or risks in the FMEA(s) that have a higher limit RPN than the defined limit RPN and are not explicitly defined as an accepted residual risk. These residual risks are approved as "Accepted" by authorized parties (e.g. management, ...).	☐	☐	
16.	The FMEA(s) are/were used for the preparation of the production control plan or for the preparation of the inspection plans.	☐	☐	

Supplier confirmation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarks:	Remarks:	Approved:	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

09 Repeatability / process capability

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	Material number:	Drawing number: Version / date:

To the process capability study information in the table below, add the statistical evidence for each capability characteristic as a separate appendix.

Characteristic:	Nominal value:	Tolerance:	Sample size:	Test equipment used:	Procedures used:	Process capability:

Supplier confirmation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarka:	Remarks:	Approved:	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

10 Measurement system analysis

Test report no.:						
Part designation:		Material number:		Drawing number: Version / date:		
To the information on the measurement system analysis in the table below, the statistical evidence for each piece of test equipment that is/was used for a process capability analysis shall be added as separate attachments.						
Characteristic:	**Nominal value:**	**Tolerance:**	**Sample size:**	**Test equipment used:**	**Procedures used:**	**Process capability:**
Supplier confirmation		**Customer confirmation**		**Customer decision**		
Remarks:		Remarks:		**Approved:**	☐	
				Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐	
				Rejected:	☐	
Name, firma: Department: Date, signature		Name, firma: Department: Date, signature				

11 Process audits

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	Material number:	Drawing number: Version / date:

		Yes	No	Remarks:
1.	We hereby confirm that the underlying parts for all processes / process steps for manufacturing for the sampling scope have been audited internally.			
2.	Process audit results: ☐ Confirmations only ☐ Deviations			
	The following criteria were considered in the audits:			
3.	Work plans complete and plausible	☐	☐	
4.	Individual test plans complete and plausible	☐	☐	
5.	Test equipment available and capable	☐	☐	
6.	Test equipment available and suitable	☐	☐	
7.	Production resources available & suitable	☐	☐	
8.	Machine(s) qualified and capable	☐	☐	
9.	Inspection and maintenance plans created	☐	☐	
10.	Workstations ergonomically suitable	☐	☐	
11.	Workplace meets occupational safety requirements	☐	☐	
12.	Employees trained & proofs available	☐	☐	
13.	Process(es) audited. There are no open audit measures.	☐	☐	
14.	Audit documentation complete and evidence of effectiveness of corrective actions is available	☐	☐	

The following processes / sub-processes were audited:	no deviations or deviation closed	Deviation open	Remarks:
	☐	☐	
	☐	☐	
	☐	☐	
	☐	☐	
	☐	☐	
	☐	☐	
	☐	☐	
	☐	☐	

Supplier confirmation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarks:	Remarks:	Approved:	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

12 Sub-supplier qualification

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

It is hereby confirmed that the scope of suppliers and sub-suppliers for the production of the samples has been monitored and qualified and that the underlying specifications have been met. The cover sheets with the issued sample releases of the suppliers and sub-suppliers are attached as Appendixes, where applicable. The scope of monitoring of supplier / sub-supplier performance in the supply chain for the manufacture of the samples presented is shown in the table below:

No.	**Supplier name:**	**Tier-no:**	**Delivery performance:**	**audited hedging / verification measures at Tier 2 to Tier n:**										
				WE-inspection plan:	**CoC:**	**QSV:**	**FMEA:**	**Production control plan:**	**Supplier Audit:**	**WA-Testing:**	**Cpk:**	**Cgk:**	**EMP-Status**	**Remarks:**
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	
				☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐			-	

Supplier confirmation	**Customer confirmation**	**Customer decision**	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

13 List of testing and measuring equipment

Test report no.:								
Part designation:		Material number:		Drawing number: Version / date:				
Die nachstehende Liste der Prüfmittel enthält alle Prüfmittel zur Herstellung der vorgestellten Muster:								
Pos.	**Inventory number:**	**Test equipment used:**	**Inspection equipment monitoring:**	**Calibration interval:**	**Next calibration:**	**Resolution:**	**Accuracy:**	**Capability [Cgk]:**
			-					
			-					
			-					
			-					
			-					
			-					
			-					
			-					
			-					

Supplier confirmation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

14 Part history documentation

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

Pos.:	ISIR:	Other patterns:	Reason for the performance:	Referenced Specification:	Version:	Report no. Zeiss / Report no. supplier:	Report date:	Customer decision
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-
	☐	☐						-

Supplier confirmation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

15 Serialisierung & Traceability

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

We hereby confirm the traceability of the sample and series parts as agreed on the basis:

- ☐ **The batch number**
- ☐ **The serial number**
- ☐ **The marking of the date of manufacture on the part**

Until identification

- ☐ **Of the allocable goods receipt of the purchased parts and materials**
- ☐ **The material test certificates**
- ☐ **Of the test certificates**
- ☐ **The goods issue inspection plan valid for the product with inspection values including the releasing person**
- ☐ **The test plans and test data valid for the product**

Supplier confirmation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

16 Verification of capacity

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

We hereby confirm the fulfillment of the ridge line (specify pieces per month) with _____ **incl.** _____ **% security.**

Proofs are available for inspection! The location of the documents must be specified!

Document name, revision, filing / storage location: _____

Supplier confirmation	**Customer confirmation**	**Customer decision**	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

17 Test data management

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

We hereby confirm compliance with the valid specifications / guidelines for test data management according to the following documents

Document number	Version	Designation

The following system was used for data transmission:

- ☐ E-mail "Supplierdata@smt.zeiss.com"
- ☐ DESC (Data Exchange SC)

DESC (Data Exchange SC)

The following data formats were transmitted to Carl Zeiss SMT:

The following data formats were transmitted to Carl Zeiss SMT:

- ☐ XML format
- ☐ JSON format

Calypso raw data includes FET format/ CHR format/ HDR format/ PDF

- ☐ FET format (Feature File/ Calculation basis / raw data - Calypso)
- ☐ CHR format (Measurement results according to test results of the test plan - Calypso)
- ☐ HDR format (Protocol header information- Calypso)
- ☐ PDF

- ☐ Binary Data e.g. iamges, PDF, Excel, other formats

The following criteria of the specification were met:

- ☐ The subject line of the e-mail corresponds to the naming convention in the specification (e-mail system)
- ☐ The file names of the attachments correspond to the naming convention of the specification (e-mail system)
- ☐ The data format complies with the specification in all respects (e-mail/DESC system)
- ☐ ASBuilt history was transmitted (DESC system)

Supplier confirmation	Customer confirmation	Customer decision	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department: Date, signature		

18 Additional verifications

Test report no.:		
Part designation:	**Material number:**	**Drawing number:** **Version / date:**

Description Subject and content of the additionally required proof (1)

Description Subject and content of the additionally required proof (2)

Description Subject and content of the additionally required proof (3)

We hereby confirm that the samples presented comply with the additional specifications defined above

Supplier confirmation	**Customer confirmation**	**Customer decision**	
Remarks:	Remarks:	**Approved:**	☐
		Approved with conditions, resampling:	☐
		Rejected:	☐
Name, firm: Department: Date, signature	Name, firm: Department Date, signature		